REMARKS

This is a full and timely response to the outstanding non-final Office Action mailed October 10, 2007. Reconsideration and allowance of the application and pending claims are respectfully requested.

I. Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)

Claims 1-3, 6, 18, and 24 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by *Olbricht* (U.S. Pat. No. 6,429,952).

As indicated above, Applicant has amended independent claim 1 from which the other rejected claims depend. In view of that amendment, Applicant submits that the rejections are moot.

Turning to the merits of claim 1, Applicant notes that Olbricht clearly does not teach an embedded server of a scanner uploading "an executable application that is configured to perform a designated task on a computing device on which the user network browser runs". Regarding the Examiner's citation of columns 2 and 3, Olbricht only discloses a scanner serving a page with a set of configuration parameters and a preview image to a browser. Olbricht says nothing of uploading an "executable application" to a computer.

II. Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

Claims 4, 5, 7, 8, 12, and 16 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Olbricht* in view of *Os, et al.* ("Os," U.S. Pat. No. 6,480,304). Applicant respectfully traverses.

As identified above, Olbricht does not teach aspects of Applicant's claims. In that Os does not remedy the deficiencies of the Olbricht reference, Applicant respectfully submits that remaining claims 4, 5, 7, and 8 are allowable over the Olbricht/Os combination for at least the same reasons that claim 1 is allowable over Olbricht.

Turning to claims 17, 19, and 20, which also appear to be rejected under Olbricht and Os, neither references teaches or suggests an embedded server of a scanner that is configured to serve to the user network browser via a network "an executable application that is configured to perform a designated task on a computing device on which the user network browser runs".

III. Canceled Claims

Claims 2, 3, 9-16, 18, and 21-23 have been canceled from the application without prejudice, waiver, or disclaimer. Applicant reserves the right to present these canceled claims, or variants thereof, in continuing applications to be filed subsequently.

IV. New Claims

Claim 25 has been added into the application through this Response. Applicant respectfully submits that claim 25 describes an invention novel and unobvious in view of the prior art of record and, therefore, respectfully requests that the claim be held to be allowable.

CONCLUSION

Applicant respectfully submits that Applicant's pending claims are in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the present application and all pending claims are hereby courteously requested. If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephonic conference would expedite the examination of this matter, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at (770) 933-9500.

Respectfully submitted,

David R. Risley

Registration No. 39,345